# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

CHILUWATA LUNGU	) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Plaintiff,	)
	)
V.	) Case No.
COUTHWEST ODEDIT SWOTEMS L.D.	)
SOUTHWEST CREDIT SYSTEMS L.P	)
Defendant,	)
	)
	)

#### **COMPLAINT**

# I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought by Plaintiff Chiluwata Lungu, an individual consumer, against Defendant, Southwest Credit System L.P for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C § 1692 *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

# II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C 1331.
 Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendant transacts business in Buford, Georgia and the conduct complained of occurred in Buford, Georgia.

#### III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Chiluwata Lungu (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a natural person residing in Buford, GA. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 4. Plaintiff alleged "debt" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C 1692a(5) this alleged debt at issue arose from a transaction entered into primarily for personal use.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Southwest Credit System L.P is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business located at 4120 international Pkwy, Ste 1100, Carrollton, TX 75007.
- 6. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debt from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumers' debts alleged to be due to another.

# IV. FACTS OF THE COMPLAINT

- 7. Defendant Southwest Credit System L.P, (hereinafter referred to as "Debt Collector") is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C 1692a(6).
- 8. On or about June 16, 2023, Plaintiff reviewed his credit report on Experian.com.
- 9. On the credit report, Plaintiff observed a trade line from Debt Collector.
- 10. Debt Collector furnished a trade line of \$594.00, allegedly owed to T-Mobile.
- 11. On June 21, 2023, Plaintiff made a dispute via telephone.
- 12. Plaintiff re-checked the consumer report on August 3, 2023, and the debt collector information was not marked disputed. See **Exhibit A**. Defendant has had subsequent communication with the consumer reporting agencies and failed to communicate Plaintiff's dispute.
- 13. Debt Collector's publishing of such inaccurate and incomplete information has severely damaged the personal and credit reputation of Plaintiff and caused severe humiliation, emotional distress, mental anguish, and damage to his FICO scores.
- 14. Southwest Credit System L.P, violated 15 U.S. Code § 1692e(8) by not communicating that the Plaintiff disputed the debt.

# V. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Southwest Credit System L.P,) 15 U.S.C 1692e(8)

- 15. Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates all previous paragraphs as if fully set out herein.
- 16. The Debt Collector violated the FDCPA.
- 17. The Debt Collector's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) The Debt Collector violated 15 U.S.C § 1692e(8) of the FDCPA by failing to disclose to the consumer reporting agencies that the alleged debt was disputed by Plaintiff.
- 18. Because Plaintiff disputed the debt, Southwest Credit System L.P., when choosing to contact the consumer reporting agencies, was obligated to inform them of the disputed status of the account. See Dixon v. RJM Acquisitions, L.L.C., 640 Fed. Appx. 793 (10th Cir. 2016) (Reversed summary judgment to the collection agency on the consumer's § 1692e(8) claim that after she had disputed a debt, the agency had nevertheless reported the debt without disclosing the disputed. The consumer created a genuine fact issue given that she said during the recorded conversation: "I feel that all I owe is \$20." A reasonable fact finder could treat the statement as a dispute of the alleged \$102.99 debt.); Sanchez v. Pinnacle Credit Servs., L.L.C., 195 F. Supp. 3d 1184 (D. Colo. 2016) (consumer's statements during telephone call that she

owed much less than was claimed on phone bill was sufficient); Llewellyn v.

Allstate Home Loans, Inc., 711 F.3d 1173 (10th Cir. 2013) ("We agree with

the Eighth Circuit's interpretation of § 1692e(8) that a debt collector does not

have an affirmative duty to notify [credit reporting agencies] that a consumer

disputes the debt unless the debt collector knows of the dispute and elects to

report to a CRA.")

19. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Debt Collector is liable to

Plaintiff for actual damages, statutory damages, and costs.

VI. JURY DEMAND AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Chiluwata Lungu respectfully demands a jury trial

and requests that judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against the Debt

Collector for:

A. Judgment for the violations occurred for violating the FDCPA;

B. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C 1692k(1)(2);

C. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C 1692k(2);

D. Cost and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C 1692k(3);

E. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted:

By: /s/ Naja I. Hawk

NAJA I. HAWK

Pleadings@thehawklegal.com

**The Hawk Legal Collective** 730 Peachtree Street NE, #570 Atlanta, GA 30308

Phone: (404) 439-9310 Georgia Bar No. 916396 Counsel for Plaintiff

# EXHIBIT A

